

# Maximal isotropic subgroups

Yuval Ginosar  
University of Haifa, Israel

July 1, 2013

Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$  and let  $W \subset V$  be any subspace. Here is a natural way to construct an alternating form on  $V$  such that  $W$  is isotropic with respect to this form.

Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$  and let  $W \subset V$  be any subspace. Here is a natural way to construct an alternating form on  $V$  such that  $W$  is isotropic with respect to this form. Let  $U := V/W$ , identify  $U$  with a subspace of  $V$  which is complementary to  $W$ , and let  $\pi : U \rightarrow W^*$  be any linear transformation.

Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$  and let  $W \subset V$  be any subspace. Here is a natural way to construct an alternating form on  $V$  such that  $W$  is isotropic with respect to this form.

Let  $U := V/W$ , identify  $U$  with a subspace of  $V$  which is complementary to  $W$ , and let  $\pi : U \rightarrow W^*$  be any linear transformation.

Then the form

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\pi : V \times V &\rightarrow F \\ (w_1 + u_1, w_2 + u_2) &\mapsto \langle \pi(u_1), w_2 \rangle - \langle \pi(u_2), w_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

is indeed alternating, with  $W$  isotropic, that is  $\alpha_\pi|_{W \times W} = 0$ .

Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$  and let  $W \subset V$  be any subspace. Here is a natural way to construct an alternating form on  $V$  such that  $W$  is isotropic with respect to this form.

Let  $U := V/W$ , identify  $U$  with a subspace of  $V$  which is complementary to  $W$ , and let  $\pi : U \rightarrow W^*$  be any linear transformation.

Then the form

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\pi : V \times V &\rightarrow F \\ (w_1 + u_1, w_2 + u_2) &\mapsto \langle \pi(u_1), w_2 \rangle - \langle \pi(u_2), w_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

is indeed alternating, with  $W$  isotropic, that is  $\alpha_\pi|_{W \times W} = 0$ . More generally, for any alternating form  $\alpha' : U \times U \rightarrow F$  on  $U$ , the form

$$(w_1 + u_1, w_2 + u_2) \mapsto \alpha_\pi(w_1 + u_1, w_2 + u_2) + \alpha'(u_1, u_2)$$

also satisfies the above requirements.

The following properties are easily verified:

- 1  $W$  is a maximal isotropic subspace with respect to any of the above alternating forms if and only if  $\pi$  is injective.
- 2 in case  $\dim_F W = \dim_F U$ , then none of the above forms admits a nontrivial radical if and only if  $\pi$  is bijective.

The following properties are easily verified:

- 1  $W$  is a maximal isotropic subspace with respect to any of the above alternating forms if and only if  $\pi$  is injective.
- 2 in case  $\dim_F W = \dim_F U$ , then none of the above forms admits a nontrivial radical if and only if  $\pi$  is bijective.

In other words, for any subspace  $W \subset V$  with  $\dim_F W = \frac{1}{2} \dim_F V$ , the above describes a method to construct symplectic forms on  $V$  such that  $W$  is a *Lagrangian* with respect to this form.

Conversely, let  $\alpha : V \times V \rightarrow F$  be an alternating form with  $W \subset V$  isotropic. Define a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\alpha : U &\rightarrow W^* \\ \langle \pi_\alpha(u), w \rangle &:= \alpha(u, w). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let  $\alpha : V \times V \rightarrow F$  be an alternating form with  $W \subset V$  isotropic. Define a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\alpha : U &\rightarrow W^* \\ \langle \pi_\alpha(u), w \rangle &:= \alpha(u, w). \end{aligned}$$

Then

- 1  $W$  is maximal isotropic with respect to the form  $\alpha$  if and only if  $\pi_\alpha$  is injective.
- 2  $\alpha$  is symplectic if and only if  $\pi_\alpha$  is bijective, in particular,  $\dim_F W = \frac{1}{2} \dim_F V$ .

Conversely, let  $\alpha : V \times V \rightarrow F$  be an alternating form with  $W \subset V$  isotropic. Define a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\alpha : U &\rightarrow W^* \\ \langle \pi_\alpha(u), w \rangle &:= \alpha(u, w). \end{aligned}$$

Then

- 1  $W$  is maximal isotropic with respect to the form  $\alpha$  if and only if  $\pi_\alpha$  is injective.
- 2  $\alpha$  is symplectic if and only if  $\pi_\alpha$  is bijective, in particular,  $\dim_F W = \frac{1}{2} \dim_F V$ .

The maps  $\pi \mapsto \alpha_\pi$  and  $\alpha \mapsto \pi_\alpha$  may be considered as mutually inverse in the sense that  $\pi_{\alpha_\pi} = \pi$ , and that  $\alpha_{\pi_\alpha}$  differs from  $\alpha$  by an alternating form which is inflated from  $U$ .

An analog is established for forms on groups. A  $G$ -form over an abelian group  $M$  is a map

$$\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow M$$

such that  $\forall g \in G$ , both  $\text{res}|_{C_G(g)}^G \alpha(g, -)$  and  $\text{res}|_{C_G(g)}^G \alpha(-, g)$  are group homomorphisms from the centralizer  $C_G(g)$  to  $M$ .

An analog is established for forms on groups. A  $G$ -form over an abelian group  $M$  is a map

$$\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow M$$

such that  $\forall g \in G$ , both  $\text{res}|_{C_G(g)}^G \alpha(g, -)$  and  $\text{res}|_{C_G(g)}^G \alpha(-, g)$  are group homomorphisms from the centralizer  $C_G(g)$  to  $M$ .

- A subgroup  $H < G$  is **isotropic** with respect to a form  $\alpha$  if the restrictions  $\text{res}|_{C_H(h)}^G \alpha(h, -)$  and  $\text{res}|_{C_H(h)}^G \alpha(-, h)$  to the homomorphisms from  $C_H(h)$  to  $M$  are trivial for any  $h \in H$ .

An analog is established for forms on groups. A  $G$ -form over an abelian group  $M$  is a map

$$\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow M$$

such that  $\forall g \in G$ , both  $\text{res}|_{C_G(g)}^G \alpha(g, -)$  and  $\text{res}|_{C_G(g)}^G \alpha(-, g)$  are group homomorphisms from the centralizer  $C_G(g)$  to  $M$ .

- A subgroup  $H < G$  is **isotropic** with respect to a form  $\alpha$  if the restrictions  $\text{res}|_{C_H(h)}^G \alpha(h, -)$  and  $\text{res}|_{C_H(h)}^G \alpha(-, h)$  to the homomorphisms from  $C_H(h)$  to  $M$  are trivial for any  $h \in H$ .
- A  $G$ -form  $\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow M$  is **symplectic** if, in addition,
  - 1  $\alpha(g, h) = -\alpha(h, g)$  for every  $(g, h) \in G \times G$  such that  $g$  and  $h$  commute ( $\alpha$  is **alternating**), and
  - 2  $\text{res}|_{C_G(g)}^G \alpha(g, -) = 0$  if and only if  $g = e$  ( $\alpha$  is **non-degenerate**).

An analog is established for forms on groups. A  $G$ -form over an abelian group  $M$  is a map

$$\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow M$$

such that  $\forall g \in G$ , both  $\text{res}|_{C_G(g)}^G \alpha(g, -)$  and  $\text{res}|_{C_G(g)}^G \alpha(-, g)$  are group homomorphisms from the centralizer  $C_G(g)$  to  $M$ .

- A subgroup  $H < G$  is **isotropic** with respect to a form  $\alpha$  if the restrictions  $\text{res}|_{C_H(h)}^G \alpha(h, -)$  and  $\text{res}|_{C_H(h)}^G \alpha(-, h)$  to the homomorphisms from  $C_H(h)$  to  $M$  are trivial for any  $h \in H$ .
- A  $G$ -form  $\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow M$  is **symplectic** if, in addition,
  - ①  $\alpha(g, h) = -\alpha(h, g)$  for every  $(g, h) \in G \times G$  such that  $g$  and  $h$  commute ( $\alpha$  is **alternating**), and
  - ②  $\text{res}|_{C_G(g)}^G \alpha(g, -) = 0$  if and only if  $g = e$  ( $\alpha$  is **non-degenerate**).
- An isotropic subgroup  $H < G$  is a **Lagrangian** with respect to a symplectic form  $\alpha$  if it not properly contained in any isotropic subgroup of  $G$  and  $|H| = \sqrt{|G|}$ .

An analog is established for forms on groups. A  $G$ -form over an abelian group  $M$  is a map

$$\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow M$$

such that  $\forall g \in G$ , both  $\text{res}|_{C_G(g)}^G \alpha(g, -)$  and  $\text{res}|_{C_G(g)}^G \alpha(-, g)$  are group homomorphisms from the centralizer  $C_G(g)$  to  $M$ .

- A subgroup  $H < G$  is **isotropic** with respect to a form  $\alpha$  if the restrictions  $\text{res}|_{C_H(h)}^G \alpha(h, -)$  and  $\text{res}|_{C_H(h)}^G \alpha(-, h)$  to the homomorphisms from  $C_H(h)$  to  $M$  are trivial for any  $h \in H$ .
- A  $G$ -form  $\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow M$  is **symplectic** if, in addition,
  - ①  $\alpha(g, h) = -\alpha(h, g)$  for every  $(g, h) \in G \times G$  such that  $g$  and  $h$  commute ( $\alpha$  is **alternating**), and
  - ②  $\text{res}|_{C_G(g)}^G \alpha(g, -) = 0$  if and only if  $g = e$  ( $\alpha$  is **non-degenerate**).
- An isotropic subgroup  $H < G$  is a **Lagrangian** with respect to a symplectic form  $\alpha$  if it not properly contained in any isotropic subgroup of  $G$  and  $|H| = \sqrt{|G|}$ .

Alternating forms on groups are naturally obtained from 2-cocycles. Let  $c \in Z^2(G, M)$  be a 2-cocycle with values in a trivial  $G$ -module  $M$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_c : G \times G &\rightarrow M \\ (g, h) &\mapsto c(h, g) - c(g, h).\end{aligned}$$

is an alternating form on  $G$ , called the alternating form **associated** to  $c$ . It is easy to show that if  $g$  and  $h$  commute, then  $\alpha_c(g, h)$  depends only on the cohomology class of  $c$ , and not on the particular representative.

Alternating forms on groups are naturally obtained from 2-cocycles. Let  $c \in Z^2(G, M)$  be a 2-cocycle with values in a trivial  $G$ -module  $M$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_c : G \times G &\rightarrow M \\ (g, h) &\mapsto c(h, g) - c(g, h).\end{aligned}$$

is an alternating form on  $G$ , called the alternating form **associated** to  $c$ . It is easy to show that if  $g$  and  $h$  commute, then  $\alpha_c(g, h)$  depends only on the cohomology class of  $c$ , and not on the particular representative.

From now onwards our discussion is over 2-cocycles (or over cohomology classes) with values in the trivial  $G$ -module  $\mathbb{C}^*$  rather than over arbitrary alternating  $G$ -forms.

The non-degeneracy property for  $G$ -forms is much harder to attain.

The non-degeneracy property for  $G$ -forms is much harder to attain. A 2-cocycle  $c : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is called **non-degenerate** if its associated alternating form  $\alpha_c$  is symplectic. This is the same as to say that for every  $x \in G$  there exists an  $g \in C_G(x)$  such that  $c(x, g)$  and  $c(g, x)$  are not equal.

The non-degeneracy property for  $G$ -forms is much harder to attain. A 2-cocycle  $c : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is called **non-degenerate** if its associated alternating form  $\alpha_c$  is symplectic. This is the same as to say that for every  $x \in G$  there exists an  $g \in C_G(x)$  such that  $c(x, g)$  and  $c(g, x)$  are not equal. In this regard, we say that a subgroup  $H < G$  is isotropic with respect to  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  if  $\text{res}_H^G[c] = 1 \in H^2(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$ .

The non-degeneracy property for  $G$ -forms is much harder to attain. A 2-cocycle  $c : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is called **non-degenerate** if its associated alternating form  $\alpha_c$  is symplectic.

This is the same as to say that for every  $x \in G$  there exists an  $g \in C_G(x)$  such that  $c(x, g)$  and  $c(g, x)$  are not equal.

In this regard, we say that a subgroup  $H < G$  is isotropic with respect to  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  if  $\text{res}_H^G[c] = 1 \in H^2(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$ .

### Proposition

*Let  $H < G$  be an isotropic with respect to a non-degenerate cocycle  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ . Then  $|H|$  divides  $\sqrt{|G|}$ .*

Groups admitting a non-degenerate 2-cocycle are termed **of central type**. These are groups of square orders admitting an irreducible projective complex representation of dimension that equals the square root of their order.

Groups admitting a non-degenerate 2-cocycle are termed **of central type**. These are groups of square orders admitting an irreducible projective complex representation of dimension that equals the square root of their order.

By a deep result of R. Howlett and I. Isaacs (1982), based on the classification of finite simple groups, it is known that all such groups are solvable.

We imitate the above vector space procedure in group-theoretic terms. Assume that  $G$  admits a normal subgroup  $A$  of the same order as that of  $Q := G/A$  (in particular  $G$  is of square order). Certainly,  $Q$  acts on  $A$ .

We imitate the above vector space procedure in group-theoretic terms. Assume that  $G$  admits a normal subgroup  $A$  of the same order as that of  $Q := G/A$  (in particular  $G$  is of square order).

Certainly,  $Q$  acts on  $A$ .

In order to construct a non-degenerate 2-cocycle  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  with  $A$  maximal isotropic we note the following

We imitate the above vector space procedure in group-theoretic terms. Assume that  $G$  admits a normal subgroup  $A$  of the same order as that of  $Q := G/A$  (in particular  $G$  is of square order). Certainly,  $Q$  acts on  $A$ .

In order to construct a non-degenerate 2-cocycle  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  with  $A$  maximal isotropic we note the following

### Proposition

*Let  $A \triangleleft G$  is isotropic with respect to a non-degenerate class  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ . Then  $A$  is necessarily abelian.*

We imitate the above vector space procedure in group-theoretic terms. Assume that  $G$  admits a normal subgroup  $A$  of the same order as that of  $Q := G/A$  (in particular  $G$  is of square order). Certainly,  $Q$  acts on  $A$ .

In order to construct a non-degenerate 2-cocycle  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  with  $A$  maximal isotropic we note the following

### Proposition

*Let  $A \triangleleft G$  is isotropic with respect to a non-degenerate class  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ . Then  $A$  is necessarily abelian.*

The linear transformation  $\pi : U \rightarrow W^*$  is replaced now by a 1-cocycle

$$\pi : Q \rightarrow \check{A}.$$

Here  $\check{A} = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)$  is endowed with the diagonal  $Q$ -action

$$\langle q(\chi), a \rangle = \chi(q^{-1}(a)),$$

for every  $q \in Q, \chi \in \check{A}$  and  $a \in A$ .

Suppose that  $A$  admits a complement in  $G$ , that is

$$G = A \rtimes Q.$$

Suppose that  $A$  admits a complement in  $G$ , that is

$$G = A \rtimes Q.$$

P. Etingof and S. Gelaki observed (2000) that any 1-cocycle  $\pi \in Z^1(Q, \check{A})$  gives rise to a 2-cocycle

$$\begin{aligned} c_\pi : G \times G &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \\ (a_1 q_1, a_2 q_2) &\mapsto \langle \pi(q_1), q_1(a_2) \rangle^{-1} (= \langle \pi(q_1^{-1}), a_2 \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

with an associated alternating form

$$\alpha_{c_\pi} = \langle \pi(q_1), q_1(a_2) \rangle \cdot \langle \pi(q_2), q_2(a_1) \rangle^{-1}, a \in A, q \in Q.$$

admitting  $A$  as a maximal isotropic subgroup.

Suppose that  $A$  admits a complement in  $G$ , that is

$$G = A \rtimes Q.$$

P. Etingof and S. Gelaki observed (2000) that any 1-cocycle  $\pi \in Z^1(Q, \check{A})$  gives rise to a 2-cocycle

$$\begin{aligned} c_\pi : G \times G &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \\ (a_1 q_1, a_2 q_2) &\mapsto \langle \pi(q_1), q_1(a_2) \rangle^{-1} (= \langle \pi(q_1^{-1}), a_2 \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

with an associated alternating form

$$\alpha_{c_\pi} = \langle \pi(q_1), q_1(a_2) \rangle \cdot \langle \pi(q_2), q_2(a_1) \rangle^{-1}, a \in A, q \in Q.$$

admitting  $A$  as a maximal isotropic subgroup.

Moreover,  $\pi$  is bijective if and only if  $c_\pi$  (or  $\alpha_{c_\pi}$ ) is non-degenerate.

Conversely, let  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  with  $A$  isotropic. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_c = \pi_{[c]} : Q &\rightarrow \check{A} \\ \langle \pi_c(q), a \rangle &:= \alpha_c(q, a) \quad \forall a \in A, \forall q \in Q. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  with  $A$  isotropic. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_c = \pi_{[c]} : Q &\rightarrow \check{A} \\ \langle \pi_c(q), a \rangle &:= \alpha_c(q, a) \quad \forall a \in A, \forall q \in Q. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\pi_c$  is a 1-cocycle.

Conversely, let  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  with  $A$  isotropic. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_c = \pi_{[c]} : Q &\rightarrow \check{A} \\ \langle \pi_c(q), a \rangle &:= \alpha_c(q, a) \quad \forall a \in A, \forall q \in Q. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\pi_c$  is a 1-cocycle.

Furthermore,  $c$  is non-degenerate if and only if  $\pi_c$  is bijective.

Conversely, let  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  with  $A$  isotropic. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_c = \pi_{[c]} : Q &\rightarrow \check{A} \\ \langle \pi_c(q), a \rangle &:= \alpha_c(q, a) \quad \forall a \in A, \forall q \in Q. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\pi_c$  is a 1-cocycle.

Furthermore,  $c$  is non-degenerate if and only if  $\pi_c$  is bijective.

Again, we obtain the mutually inverse property in the sense that  $\pi_{c_\pi} = \pi$ , and that  $c_{\pi_c}$  differs from  $c$  by an alternating form inflated from  $Q$ .

Groups admitting bijective 1-cocycles, namely **involutive Yang-Baxter** groups, are key in the study of set-theoretic solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation.

Groups admitting bijective 1-cocycles, namely **involutive Yang-Baxter** groups, are key in the study of set-theoretic solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation.

- F. Cedó, E. Jespers and J. Okniński (2010)
- F. Cedó, E. Jespers and Á. del Río (2010)
- P. Etingof, T. Schedler and A. Soloviev (1999)
- T. Gateva-Ivanova (2004)
- T. Gateva-Ivanova and M. Van den Bergh (1998)
- E. Jespers and J. Okniński (2005)
- J.H. Lu, M. Yan and Y.C. Zhu (2000)
- W. Rump (2005, 2007)

The correspondence between symplectic  $G$ -forms with  $A$  maximal isotropic (modulo the  $G$ -forms inflated from  $Q$ ) and bijective classes in  $H^1(Q, \check{A})$  still holds even when the quotient  $Q$  does not embed in  $G$  as a complement of  $A$ , though is more complicated:

The correspondence between symplectic  $G$ -forms with  $A$  maximal isotropic (modulo the  $G$ -forms inflated from  $Q$ ) and bijective classes in  $H^1(Q, \check{A})$  still holds even when the quotient  $Q$  does not embed in  $G$  as a complement of  $A$ , though is more complicated:

**Theorem (N. Ben-David, G., 2009)**

Let

$$[\beta] : 1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1, \quad [\beta] \in H^2(Q, A)$$

be an extension of finite groups, where  $A$  is abelian. Then there is a 1-1 correspondence between classes  $[\pi] \in H^1(Q, \check{A})$  annihilating the cup product with  $[\beta]$ , that is

$$[\beta] \cup [\pi] = 0 \in H^3(Q, \mathbb{C}^*),$$

and classes in  $\ker(\text{res}_A^G) \bmod [\text{im}(\text{inf}_G^Q)]$ . If, additionally,  $|A| = |Q| (= \sqrt{|G|})$ , then in this way bijective classes correspond to non-degenerate classes.

When the extension  $[\beta] : 1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  splits, then certainly  $[\beta] \cup [\pi] = 0$  for every  $[\pi] \in H^1(Q, A^*)$ , and the correspondence in the theorem amounts to the one described above.

When the extension  $[\beta] : 1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  splits, then certainly  $[\beta] \cup [\pi] = 0$  for every  $[\pi] \in H^1(Q, A^*)$ , and the correspondence in the theorem amounts to the one described above.

The theorem actually describes all groups of central type which contain a normal Lagrangian.

When the extension  $[\beta] : 1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  splits, then certainly  $[\beta] \cup [\pi] = 0$  for every  $[\pi] \in H^1(Q, A^*)$ , and the correspondence in the theorem amounts to the one described above.

The theorem actually describes all groups of central type which contain a normal Lagrangian.

Indeed, any such group can be constructed by a bijective 1-cocycle  $\pi$  and a 2-cocycle  $\beta$  satisfying  $[\beta] \cup [\pi] = 0$ .

When the extension  $[\beta] : 1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  splits, then certainly  $[\beta] \cup [\pi] = 0$  for every  $[\pi] \in H^1(Q, A^*)$ , and the correspondence in the theorem amounts to the one described above.

The theorem actually describes all groups of central type which contain a normal Lagrangian.

Indeed, any such group can be constructed by a bijective 1-cocycle  $\pi$  and a 2-cocycle  $\beta$  satisfying  $[\beta] \cup [\pi] = 0$ .

### Corollary

*Let  $A$  be a finite abelian group,  $Q$  a finite group acting on  $A$  and  $[\pi] \in H^1(Q, \check{A})$  a bijective class (in particular  $|A| = |Q|$ ). Then for every  $[\beta] \in H^2(Q, A)$  such that  $[\beta] \cup [\pi] = 0$ , the group  $G$  determined by the extension  $[\beta] : 1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  is of central type.*

An intriguing question arises following the theorem:

### Question

*Let  $[c] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  be a non-degenerate class. Does  $[c]$  admit a normal maximal isotropic (and hence abelian) subgroup  $A \triangleleft G$  of order  $\sqrt{|G|}$ ?*

An intriguing question arises following the theorem:

### Question

*Let  $[c] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  be a non-degenerate class. Does  $[c]$  admit a normal maximal isotropic (and hence abelian) subgroup  $A \triangleleft G$  of order  $\sqrt{|G|}$ ?*

If a non-degenerate class  $[c] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  gives an affirmative answer to this question, then by the above theorem, the corresponding quotient  $G/A$  is an IYB group, admitting a bijective 1-cocycle datum determined by  $[c]$ .

An intriguing question arises following the theorem:

### Question

*Let  $[c] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  be a non-degenerate class. Does  $[c]$  admit a normal maximal isotropic (and hence abelian) subgroup  $A \triangleleft G$  of order  $\sqrt{|G|}$ ?*

If a non-degenerate class  $[c] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  gives an affirmative answer to this question, then by the above theorem, the corresponding quotient  $G/A$  is an IYB group, admitting a bijective 1-cocycle datum determined by  $[c]$ .

If the answer to the question is always positive, then all groups of central type are obtained from such data.

In a recent work with N. Ben David and E. Meir we study the existence of large isotropic subgroups with respect to non-degenerate classes of nilpotent groups of central type.

In a recent work with N. Ben David and E. Meir we study the existence of large isotropic subgroups with respect to non-degenerate classes of nilpotent groups of central type.

- Nilpotent groups of central type are not necessarily obtained from bijective data in general.

In a recent work with N. Ben David and E. Meir we study the existence of large isotropic subgroups with respect to non-degenerate classes of nilpotent groups of central type.

- Nilpotent groups of central type are not necessarily obtained from bijective data in general.
- However, non-degenerate classes over nilpotent groups  $G$ , whose orders are free of eighth powers always admit normal Lagrangians of order  $\sqrt{|G|}$ .

In a recent work with N. Ben David and E. Meir we study the existence of large isotropic subgroups with respect to non-degenerate classes of nilpotent groups of central type.

- Nilpotent groups of central type are not necessarily obtained from bijective data in general.
- However, non-degenerate classes over nilpotent groups  $G$ , whose orders are free of eighth powers always admit normal Lagrangians of order  $\sqrt{|G|}$ .
- Relaxing the normality demand, we have that non-degenerate classes over nilpotent groups  $G$  do admit a Lagrangian of order  $\sqrt{|G|}$ .