# On images of linear maps with skew derivations

### Münevver Pınar Eroğlu

Dokuz Eylül University, izmir, Turkey

This is a joint work with Tsiu-Kwen Lee

# Noncommutative rings and their applications, V, 2017

Münevver Pınar Eroğlu On images of linear maps with skew derivations

• By a ring *R* we mean an associative ring.

- By a ring *R* we mean an associative ring.
- For  $a, b \in R$ , the **commutator** of a and b is denoted by

$$[a,b] = ab - ba$$

- By a ring *R* we mean an associative ring.
- For  $a, b \in R$ , the **commutator** of a and b is denoted by

$$[a,b] = ab - ba$$

• For additive subgroups A, B of R, let

[A, B]

denote the additive subgroup of *R* generated by all elements [a, b] for  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .

# An additive map $\delta \colon R \to R$ is called a **derivation** if

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ .

An additive map  $\delta \colon R \to R$  is called a **derivation** if

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ .

#### Definition

For  $b \in R$ , the map  $x \mapsto bx - xb$  for  $x \in R$  is a derivation of R which is called an **inner derivation**, denoted by ad(b).

An additive map  $\delta \colon R \to R$  is called a **derivation** if

 $\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$ 

for all  $x, y \in R$ .

### Definition

For  $b \in R$ , the map  $x \mapsto bx - xb$  for  $x \in R$  is a derivation of R which is called an **inner derivation**, denoted by ad(b).

# A derivation of *R* is called *outer* if it is not inner.

# **MOTIVATION**

### Definition

Let *C* be a field and *A* be a *C*-algebra. An additive map  $f: A \to A$  is called *C*-linear map if

$$f(\beta x) = \beta f(x)$$

for all  $x \in A$  and  $\beta \in C$ .

# MOTIVATION

#### Definition

Let *C* be a field and *A* be a *C*-algebra. An additive map  $f: A \to A$  is called *C*-linear map if

$$f(\beta x) = \beta f(x)$$

for all  $x \in A$  and  $\beta \in C$ .

#### Theorem

(Skolem-Noether) Let *R* be a finite dimensional central simple *C*-algebra and  $\delta: R \to R$  be a derivation.

 $\delta$  is **inner** if and only if  $\delta$  is *C*-linear.

# MOTIVATION

### Definition

Let *C* be a field and *A* be a *C*-algebra. An additive map  $f: A \to A$  is called *C*-linear map if

$$f(\beta x) = \beta f(x)$$

for all  $x \in A$  and  $\beta \in C$ .

#### Theorem

(Skolem-Noether) Let *R* be a finite dimensional central simple *C*-algebra and  $\delta: R \to R$  be a derivation.

 $\delta$  is **inner** if and only if  $\delta$  is *C*-linear.

### Corollary

(Eroğlu and Lee, 2017)

 $\delta$  is inner if and only if  $\delta(R) \subseteq [R, R]$ .

Münevver Pınar Eroğlu On images of linear maps with skew derivations



# <u>Characterize</u> derivations $\delta$ of *R* and positive integers *n* such that

 $\delta^n(R)\subseteq [R,R].$ 



<u>Characterize</u> derivations  $\delta$  of *R* and positive integers *n* such that

 $\delta^n(R)\subseteq [R,R].$ 

**Question 1.** In case *R* is a prime ring with a nonzero derivation  $\delta$  and Martindale symmetric ring of quotients *Q*, characterize

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \delta^j(x) b_{ij}$$

for  $x \in R$  where  $a_{ij}, b_{ij}$  are finitely many elements in Q such that

 $\phi(\mathbf{R})\subseteq [\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}].$ 

# DEFINITION

## Definition

An associative ring R is called **prime** if aRb = 0 implies a = 0 or b = 0 for  $a, b \in R$ .

An associative ring R is called **prime** if aRb = 0 implies a = 0 or b = 0 for  $a, b \in R$ .

Denote by Q the *Martindale symmetric ring of quotients* of R with the center C that is called the **extended centroid** of R.

Q is also a prime ring and C is a field.

An associative ring *R* is called **prime** if aRb = 0 implies a = 0 or b = 0 for  $a, b \in R$ .

Denote by Q the *Martindale symmetric ring of quotients* of R with the center C that is called the **extended centroid** of R.

Q is also a prime ring and C is a field.

It is known that any derivation  $\delta : R \to R$  can be uniquely extended to a derivation of Q, denoted by  $\delta$  also.



Let

$$Q[t;\delta] := \{a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_nt^n \mid a_0, \dots, a_n \in Q, n \ge 0\},\$$

be the Ore extension of Q by  $\delta$ .



Let

$$Q[t;\delta] := \{a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_nt^n \mid a_0, \dots, a_n \in Q, n \ge 0\},\$$

be the Ore extension of Q by  $\delta$ .

For  $f(t) \in Q[t; \delta]$ , we denote

$$f(\delta) = (a_0)_L \operatorname{id}_R + (a_1)_L \delta + \dots + (a_n)_L \delta^n.$$



Let

$$Q[t;\delta] := \{a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_nt^n \mid a_0, \dots, a_n \in Q, n \ge 0\},\$$

be the Ore extension of Q by  $\delta$ .

For  $f(t) \in Q[t; \delta]$ , we denote

$$f(\delta) = (a_0)_L \operatorname{id}_R + (a_1)_L \delta + \dots + (a_n)_L \delta^n.$$

**Question 2.** In case *R* is a prime ring with a nonzero derivation  $\delta$  and Martindale symmetric ring of quotients *Q*, characterize

$$f(t) = a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_nt^n \in Q[t;\delta]$$

such that  $f(\delta)(R) \subseteq [R, R]$ .

Let  $R_F$  be the Martindale left ring of quotients of R. A derivation  $\delta: R \to R$  is called **quasi-algebraic** if there exist  $b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}, b \in R_F$  such that

(1) 
$$\delta^n(x) + b_1 \delta^{n-1}(x) + \dots + b_{n-1} \delta(x) = bx - xb$$

for all  $x \in R$ .

Let  $R_F$  be the Martindale left ring of quotients of R. A derivation  $\delta: R \to R$  is called **quasi-algebraic** if there exist  $b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}, b \in R_F$  such that

(1) 
$$\delta^n(x) + b_1 \delta^{n-1}(x) + \dots + b_{n-1} \delta(x) = bx - xb$$

for all  $x \in R$ .

The least integer *n* is called *quasi-algebraic degree* of  $\delta$  and is denoted by  $out - deg(\delta)$ .

Let  $R_F$  be the Martindale left ring of quotients of R. A derivation  $\delta: R \to R$  is called **quasi-algebraic** if there exist  $b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}, b \in R_F$  such that

(1) 
$$\delta^n(x) + b_1 \delta^{n-1}(x) + \dots + b_{n-1} \delta(x) = bx - xb$$

for all  $x \in R$ .

The least integer *n* is called *quasi-algebraic degree* of  $\delta$  and is denoted by *out*  $- deg(\delta)$ .

 $out - deg(\delta) = 1$  if and only if  $\delta$  is X-inner.



For a quasi-algebraic derivation  $\delta$  of *R*, we define;

Münevver Pınar Eroğlu On images of linear maps with skew derivations

For a quasi-algebraic derivation  $\delta$  of *R*, we define;

p(t) = t if  $out - deg(\delta) = 1$ ;

For a quasi-algebraic derivation  $\delta$  of *R*, we define;

$$p(t) = t$$
 if  $out - deg(\delta) = 1$ ;

 $p(t) = t^{p^s} + \alpha_1 t^{p^{s-1}} + \dots + \alpha_s t$  if char R = p > 0 and R satisfies (1) where  $\alpha_i \in C$ .

For a quasi-algebraic derivation  $\delta$  of *R*, we define;

p(t) = t if  $out - deg(\delta) = 1$ ;  $p(t) = t^{p^s} + \alpha_1 t^{p^{s-1}} + \dots + \alpha_s t$  if char R = p > 0 and R satisfies (1) where  $\alpha_i \in C$ .

In either case, p(t) is called the **associated polynomial** of  $\delta$ .

For a quasi-algebraic derivation  $\delta$  of *R*, we define;

$$p(t) = t$$
 if  $out - deg(\delta) = 1$ ;  
 $p(t) = t^{p^s} + \alpha_1 t^{p^{s-1}} + \dots + \alpha_s t$  if  $char R = p > 0$  and R satisfies (1)  
where  $\alpha_i \in C$ .

In either case, p(t) is called the **associated polynomial** of  $\delta$ .

Note that  $p(\delta) = ad(b)$  for some  $b \in Q$ .

We remark that Question 1 and 2 are meaningful only when  $[R, R] \neq R$ .

We remark that Question 1 and 2 are meaningful only when  $[R, R] \neq R$ .

Question 1. and 2. Characterize

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \delta^j(x) b_{ij}$$

such that  $\phi(R) \subseteq [R, R]$ 

We remark that Question 1 and 2 are meaningful only when  $[R, R] \neq R$ .

Question 1. and 2. Characterize

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \delta^j(x) b_{ij}$$

such that  $\phi(R) \subseteq [R, R]$  and characterize

$$f(t) = a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_nt^n \in Q[t;\delta]$$

such that  $f(\delta)(R) \subseteq [R, R]$ .

(Eroğlu and Lee, 2017) Let *R* be a simple GPI-ring. Then  $[R, R] \neq R$ .

Münevver Pınar Eroğlu On images of linear maps with skew derivations

(Eroğlu and Lee, 2017) Let *R* be a simple GPI-ring. Then  $[R, R] \neq R$ .

We now are ready to answer Question 2. as follows:

(Eroğlu and Lee, 2017) Let *R* be a simple GPI-ring. Then  $[R, R] \neq R$ .

We now are ready to answer Question 2. as follows:

### Theorem

*(Eroğlu and Lee, 2017)* Let *R* be a simple GPI-ring with a nonzero derivation  $\delta$  and  $f(t) \in Q[t; \delta]$ .

 $f(\delta)(\mathbf{R}) \subseteq [\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}]$  if and only if  $\delta$  is quasi-algebraic and p(t)|f(t).

(Eroğlu and Lee, 2017) Let *R* be a simple GPI-ring. Then  $[R, R] \neq R$ .

We now are ready to answer Question 2. as follows:

### Theorem

*(Eroğlu and Lee, 2017)* Let *R* be a simple GPI-ring with a nonzero derivation  $\delta$  and  $f(t) \in Q[t; \delta]$ .

 $f(\delta)(R) \subseteq [R, R]$  if and only if  $\delta$  is quasi-algebraic and p(t)|f(t).

For A(t),  $B(t) \in Q[t; \delta]$  with  $A(t) \neq 0$  by A(t)|B(t) we mean there exists some  $q(t) \in Q[t; \delta]$  such that B(t) = A(t)q(t).

# The answer to Question 1 is as follows:

#### Theorem

(Eroğlu and Lee, 2017) Let *R* be a simple GPI-ring with a nonzero derivation  $\delta$ . Suppose that

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \delta^j(x) b_{ij}$$

for  $x \in R$ , where  $a_{ij}, b_{ij}$  are finitely many elements in Q. Then

# The answer to Question 1 is as follows:

#### Theorem

(Eroğlu and Lee, 2017) Let *R* be a simple GPI-ring with a nonzero derivation  $\delta$ . Suppose that

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \delta^j(x) b_{ij}$$

for  $x \in R$ , where  $a_{ij}, b_{ij}$  are finitely many elements in Q. Then

 $\phi(R) \subseteq [R, R]$  if and only if either  $\sum_{i,j} b_{ij}a_{ij}t^j = 0$  or  $\delta$  is quasi-algebraic and  $p(t)|\sum_i (\sum_i b_{ij}a_{ij})t^j$ .

# Corollary

(Eroğlu and Lee, 2017) Let *R* be a simple GPI-ring with a nonzero derivation  $\delta$ . Given a positive integer *n*,

 $\delta^n(R) \subseteq [R, R]$  if and only if  $\delta^\ell$  is X-inner for some  $\ell \leq n$ .

# Corollary

(Eroğlu and Lee, 2017) Let *R* be a simple GPI-ring with a nonzero derivation  $\delta$ . Given a positive integer *n*,

 $\delta^n(R) \subseteq [R,R]$  if and only if  $\delta^\ell$  is X-inner for some  $\ell \leq n$ .

# Corollary

*(Eroğlu and Lee, 2017)* Let *R* be a simple GPI-ring with a nonzero derivation  $\delta$ . Suppose that  $f(\delta)$  is a derivation of *R*. Then

 $f(\delta)$  is X-inner if and only if  $\delta$  is quasi-algebraic and p(t)|f(t).

Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of R. An additive map  $D: R \to R$  is called a  $\sigma$ -derivation (or a skew derivation) of R if

$$D(xy) = D(x)y + \sigma(x)D(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of R. An additive map  $D: R \to R$  is called a  $\sigma$ -derivation (or a skew derivation) of R if

$$D(xy) = D(x)y + \sigma(x)D(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ .

If  $\sigma \neq id$ , then the map  $\sigma - id$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation.

Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of R. An additive map  $D: R \to R$  is called a  $\sigma$ -derivation (or a skew derivation) of R if

$$D(xy) = D(x)y + \sigma(x)D(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ .

If  $\sigma \neq id$ , then the map  $\sigma - id$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation. For  $b \in O$ ,

$$D(x) = bx - \sigma(x)b$$

is a  $\sigma$ -derivation and it is called an **inner**  $\sigma$ -derivation of *R*.

# THANKS FOR ATTENDING :)

Münevver Pınar Eroğlu On images of linear maps with skew derivations

- K.I. Beidar, W.S. Martindale 3rd and A.V. Mikhalev, *Rings with Generalized Identities*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, **196**, (1996).
- C.-L. Chuang and T.-K. Lee, *Ore extensions which are* GPI-*rings*, Manuscripta Math. **124**, (2007), 45-58.
- M. P. Eroğlu and T.-K. Lee, *The images of polynomials of derivations*, Comm. Algebra, **45** (10) (2017), 4550-4556.
- V.K. Kharchenko, Differential identities of prime rings, Algebra i Logika 17, (1978), 220-238. (Engl. Transl., Algebra and Logic 17, (1978), 154-168.)
- V.K. Kharchenko, Differential identities of semiprime rings, Algebra i Logika 18, (1979), 86-119. (Engl. Transl., Algebra and Logic 18, (1979), 58-80.)
- W.K. Nicholson and J.F. Watters, *On tensor products and extended centroids*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88**, (1983), 215-217.