# C4- and D4-Modules via Perspective Submodules

Meltem Altun-Özarslan

Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey

Joint work with Y. Ibrahim, A. Ç. Özcan, and M. Yousif

Noncommutative Rings and their Applications 12 - 15 June 2017

# Outline

# Background

- 2 C4-Modules via Perspective Submodules
- Indomorphism Rings of C4-Modules
- A Right C4 rings
- **5** D4-Modules via Perspective Submodules

Let R be a ring and M a right R-module.

*M* is called a *Ci-module* if it has the following *Ci* properties for i = 1, 2, 3.

C1: Every submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M.

C2: Whenever A and B are submodules of M with  $A \cong B$  and  $B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ , then  $A \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .

Let R be a ring and M a right R-module.

*M* is called a *Ci-module* if it has the following *Ci* properties for i = 1, 2, 3.

#### C1: Every submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M.

C2: Whenever A and B are submodules of M with  $A \cong B$  and  $B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ , then  $A \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .

Let R be a ring and M a right R-module.

*M* is called a *Ci-module* if it has the following *Ci* properties for i = 1, 2, 3.

C1: Every submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M.

C2: Whenever A and B are submodules of M with  $A \cong B$  and  $B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ , then  $A \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .

Let R be a ring and M a right R-module.

*M* is called a *Ci-module* if it has the following *Ci* properties for i = 1, 2, 3.

C1: Every submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M.

C2: Whenever A and B are submodules of M with  $A \cong B$  and  $B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ , then  $A \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .

A module M is called a *Di-module* if it satisfies the following *Di*-conditions.

D1: For every submodule A of M, there is a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  such that  $M_1 \subseteq A$  and  $A \cap M_2 \ll M_2$ .

D2: Whenever A and B are submodules of M with  $M/A \cong B$  and  $B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ , then  $A \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .

*M* is called *continuous* if it is C1 and C2, and *quasi-continuous* if it is C1 and C3.

 $C2 \Rightarrow C3$ 

#### $\mathsf{quasi-injective} \Rightarrow \mathsf{continuous}$

#### Definition

A module M is called *discrete* if it is both a D1- and a D2 -module, *quasi-discrete* if it is both a D1- and a D3-module.

quasi-projective  $\Rightarrow D2 \Rightarrow D3$ 

*M* is called *continuous* if it is C1 and C2, and *quasi-continuous* if it is C1 and C3.

 $C2 \Rightarrow C3$ 

#### quasi-injective $\Rightarrow$ continuous

## Definition

A module M is called *discrete* if it is both a D1- and a D2 -module, *quasi-discrete* if it is both a D1- and a D3-module.

quasi-projective  $\Rightarrow D2 \Rightarrow D3$ 

# Proposition [Amin et al., 2015]

If *M* is a *C*3-module, then for every decomposition  $M = A \oplus B$  and every homomorphism  $f : A \to B$  with ker  $f \subseteq^{\oplus} A$ , then  $\operatorname{Im} f \subseteq^{\oplus} B$ .

# [Amin et al., 2015]

The following are equivalent for a module *M*:

- (1) If  $M = A \oplus B$  and  $f : A \to B$  is a monomorphism, then  $\text{Im} f \subseteq^{\oplus} B$ .
- (2) If  $M = A \oplus B$  and  $f : A \to B$  is a homomorphism with ker  $f \subseteq^{\oplus} A$ , then  $\operatorname{Im} f \subseteq^{\oplus} B$ .

# Proposition [Amin et al., 2015]

If *M* is a *C*3-module, then for every decomposition  $M = A \oplus B$  and every homomorphism  $f : A \to B$  with ker  $f \subseteq^{\oplus} A$ , then  $\operatorname{Im} f \subseteq^{\oplus} B$ .

# [Amin et al., 2015]

The following are equivalent for a module M:

- (1) If  $M = A \oplus B$  and  $f : A \to B$  is a monomorphism, then  $\operatorname{Im} f \subseteq^{\oplus} B$ .
- (2) If  $M = A \oplus B$  and  $f : A \to B$  is a homomorphism with ker  $f \subseteq^{\oplus} A$ , then  $\operatorname{Im} f \subseteq^{\oplus} B$ .

A module M is called a C4-module if it satisfies any of the equivalent conditions in the above.

 $C3 \Rightarrow C4$ 

### Definition

A module *M* is called *(summand-)* square-free if whenever  $N \subseteq M$  and  $N = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$  with  $Y_1 \cong Y_2$  (and  $Y_1, Y_2 \subseteq \oplus M$ ), then  $Y_1 = Y_2 = 0$ .

A module M is called a C4-module if it satisfies any of the equivalent conditions in the above.

#### $C3 \Rightarrow C4$

#### Definition

A module *M* is called *(summand-)* square-free if whenever  $N \subseteq M$  and  $N = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$  with  $Y_1 \cong Y_2$  (and  $Y_1, Y_2 \subseteq \oplus M$ ), then  $Y_1 = Y_2 = 0$ .

A module M is called a C4-module if it satisfies any of the equivalent conditions in the above.

 $C3 \Rightarrow C4$ 

### Definition

A module *M* is called *(summand-)* square-free if whenever  $N \subseteq M$  and  $N = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$  with  $Y_1 \cong Y_2$  (and  $Y_1, Y_2 \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ ), then  $Y_1 = Y_2 = 0$ .

A module M is called a C4-module if it satisfies any of the equivalent conditions in the above.

 $C3 \Rightarrow C4$ 

#### Definition

A module *M* is called *(summand-)* square-free if whenever  $N \subseteq M$  and  $N = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$  with  $Y_1 \cong Y_2$  (and  $Y_1, Y_2 \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ ), then  $Y_1 = Y_2 = 0$ .

Two direct summands A and B of a module M are *perspective* exactly when there exists a common direct sum complement C, i.e.,  $M = A \oplus C = B \oplus C.$ 

### Theorem 1.1

The following are equivalent for a module *M*:

- (1) M is a C4-module.
- (2) If A and B are perspective direct summands of M with  $A \cap B = 0$ , then  $A \oplus B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .
- (3) If A and B are perspective direct summands of M with  $A \cap B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ , then  $A + B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .

Two direct summands A and B of a module M are *perspective* exactly when there exists a common direct sum complement C, i.e.,  $M = A \oplus C = B \oplus C$ .

## Theorem 1.1

The following are equivalent for a module M:

- (1) M is a C4-module.
- (2) If A and B are perspective direct summands of M with  $A \cap B = 0$ , then  $A \oplus B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .
- (3) If A and B are perspective direct summands of M with  $A \cap B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ , then  $A + B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .

#### Theorem 1.2

Let  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  be a module, where  $M_i$  is fully invariant in M for every  $i \in I$ . Then M is a C4-module if and only if each  $M_i$  is a C4-module.

## Definition 1.3

A module M is said to satisfy the restricted ACC on summands (r - ACC on summands, for short) if, M has no strictly ascending chains of non-zero summands

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_1 & \subsetneqq & A_2 \subsetneqq \cdots \\ B_1 & \subsetneq & B_2 \subsetneqq \cdots \end{array}$$

with  $A_i \cong B_i$  and  $A_i \cap B_i = 0$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

ACC on summands  $\Rightarrow$  r - ACC on summands

summand-square-free  $\Rightarrow$  *r* - *ACC* on summands

#### Definition 1.3

A module M is said to satisfy the restricted ACC on summands (r - ACC on summands, for short) if, M has no strictly ascending chains of non-zero summands

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_1 & \subsetneqq & A_2 \subsetneqq \cdots \\ B_1 & \subsetneqq & B_2 \subsetneqq \cdots \end{array}$$

with  $A_i \cong B_i$  and  $A_i \cap B_i = 0$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

ACC on summands  $\Rightarrow$  r - ACC on summands

summand-square-free  $\Rightarrow$  *r* - *ACC* on summands

#### Theorem 1.4

If *M* is a C4-module that satisfies *the restricted ACC on summands, then*  $M = A \oplus B \oplus K$  where  $A \cong B$  is a C2-module and *K* is a summand-square-free module.

#### Let *M* be a right *R*-module and $S = \operatorname{End}_R(M)$ .

- If S<sub>S</sub> is a right C2-module, then M<sub>R</sub> is C2; the converse is true if ker(α) is generated by M whenever α is such that r<sub>S</sub>(α) is a direct summand of S<sub>S</sub> [Nicholson and Yousif, 2003].
- If S<sub>S</sub> is a right C3-module, then M<sub>R</sub> is C3 [Amin et al., 2015]; the converse is true if for every pair of idempotents e, f ∈ S with eS ∩ fS = 0 we have eM ∩ fM = 0 by [Mazurek et al., 2015].
- If S<sub>S</sub> is a right C4-module, then M<sub>R</sub> is C4; the converse is true if for every pair of idempotents e, f ∈ S with eS ∩ fS = 0 we have eM ∩ fM = 0 [Ding et al., 2017].

Let *M* be a right *R*-module and  $S = \operatorname{End}_R(M)$ .

- If S<sub>S</sub> is a right C2-module, then M<sub>R</sub> is C2; the converse is true if ker(α) is generated by M whenever α is such that r<sub>S</sub>(α) is a direct summand of S<sub>S</sub> [Nicholson and Yousif, 2003].
- If S<sub>S</sub> is a right C3-module, then M<sub>R</sub> is C3 [Amin et al., 2015]; the converse is true if for every pair of idempotents e, f ∈ S with eS ∩ fS = 0 we have eM ∩ fM = 0 by [Mazurek et al., 2015].
- If S<sub>S</sub> is a right C4-module, then M<sub>R</sub> is C4; the converse is true if for every pair of idempotents e, f ∈ S with eS ∩ fS = 0 we have eM ∩ fM = 0 [Ding et al., 2017].

## Theorem 2.1

Let *M* be a right *R*-module with  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then *S* is a right *C*4-ring, if *M* is a *C*4-module and one of the following is satisfied.

- (1) M is k-local-retractable.
- (2) For any  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $ker(\alpha)$  is generated by M.
- (3) For every pair of perspective idempotents  $e, f \in S$  with  $eS \cap fS = 0$ , we have  $eM \cap fM = 0$ .

# Proposition 2.2

Let *M* be a right *R*-module with  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) M is a C4-module.
- (2) For every pair of perspective idempotents  $e, f \in S$  with  $eM \cap fM = 0$ , there exists an idempotent g of S such that eM = gM and  $fM \subseteq (1 g)M$ .

## Proposition 2.3

A right *R*-module *M* is *C*4 if and only if for any idempotents  $e, f \in End_R(M)$ , if kere = kerf = ker(e - f), then  $(1 - e)fM \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .

# Proposition 2.2

Let *M* be a right *R*-module with  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) M is a C4-module.
- (2) For every pair of perspective idempotents  $e, f \in S$  with  $eM \cap fM = 0$ , there exists an idempotent g of S such that eM = gM and  $fM \subseteq (1 g)M$ .

## Proposition 2.3

A right *R*-module *M* is *C*4 if and only if for any idempotents  $e, f \in End_R(M)$ , if kere = kerf = ker(e - f), then  $(1 - e)fM \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .

# Proposition 3.1

Let  $R_i$   $(i \in I)$  be any collection of rings, and let R be the direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ . Then R is a right C4-ring if and only if every  $R_i$  is a right C4-ring.

## Proposition 3.2

If R is a right C4-ring, then so is eRe for any idempotent  $e \in R$  such that ReR = R.

# Proposition 3.1

Let  $R_i$   $(i \in I)$  be any collection of rings, and let R be the direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ . Then R is a right C4-ring if and only if every  $R_i$  is a right C4-ring.

### Proposition 3.2

If R is a right C4-ring, then so is eRe for any idempotent  $e \in R$  such that ReR = R.

### Example 3.3

The condition ReR = R is not superfluous in Proposition 3.2: Let R be the algebra of matrices, over a field F, of the form



▶  $e := e_{11} + e_{22} + e_{33} + e_{44} + e_{55}$ , where  $e_{ij}$  are the matrices with (i, j)-entry 1 and all other entries zero.

• *e* is an idempotent of *R* such that  $ReR \neq R$ .

▶ *R* is a quasi-Frobenius ring by [Koike, 1995]  $\Rightarrow$  *R* is right *C*4.

▶  $eRe \cong \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$  is not a right C4-ring.

### Example 3.3

The condition ReR = R is not superfluous in Proposition 3.2: Let R be the algebra of matrices, over a field F, of the form

(a	X	0	0	0	0)
0	b	0	0	0	0
0	0	с	y	0	0
0	0	0	а	0	0
0	0	0	0	b	z
0/	0	0	0	0	c)

▶  $e := e_{11} + e_{22} + e_{33} + e_{44} + e_{55}$ , where  $e_{ij}$  are the matrices with (i, j)-entry 1 and all other entries zero.

• *e* is an idempotent of *R* such that  $ReR \neq R$ .

- ▶ R is a quasi-Frobenius ring by [Koike, 1995]  $\Rightarrow$  R is right C4.
- ▶  $eRe \cong \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$  is not a right C4-ring.

### Example 3.3

The condition ReR = R is not superfluous in Proposition 3.2: Let R be the algebra of matrices, over a field F, of the form

(a	X	0	0	0	0)
0	b	0	0	0	0
0	0	С	y	0	0
0	0	0	а	0	0
0	0	0	0	b	z
0/	0	0	0	0	c)

- ► e := e<sub>11</sub> + e<sub>22</sub> + e<sub>33</sub> + e<sub>44</sub> + e<sub>55</sub>, where e<sub>ij</sub> are the matrices with (i, j)-entry 1 and all other entries zero.
- *e* is an idempotent of *R* such that  $ReR \neq R$ .
- ▶ *R* is a quasi-Frobenius ring by [Koike, 1995]  $\Rightarrow$  *R* is right *C*4.

• 
$$eRe \cong \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$$
 is not a right C4-ring

# ⊢Right C4 rings

▶ Let *R* be a ring and *M* an *R*-*R*-bimodule. Then the trivial extension  $R \propto M$  is a ring whose underlying group is  $R \times M$  with the multiplication defined by

$$(r,m)(s,n) = (rs,rn+ms)$$

where  $r, s \in R$  and  $m, n \in M$ .

#### Proposition 3.4

Let *R* be a ring and *M* an *R*-*R*-bimodule.

- 1) If  $R \propto M$  is a right C4-ring, and for any idempotents  $e, f \in R$ ,  $eR \cap fR = 0$  implies  $eM \cap fM = 0$ , then R is a right C4-ring.
- 2) If R is a right C4-ring, and eM(1-e) = 0 for any idempotent  $e \in R$ , then  $R \propto M$  is a right C4-ring.

# ⊢Right C4 rings

▶ Let *R* be a ring and *M* an *R*-*R*-bimodule. Then the trivial extension  $R \propto M$  is a ring whose underlying group is  $R \times M$  with the multiplication defined by

$$(r,m)(s,n)=(rs,rn+ms)$$

where  $r, s \in R$  and  $m, n \in M$ .

#### Proposition 3.4

Let R be a ring and M an R-R-bimodule.

- 1) If  $R \propto M$  is a right C4-ring, and for any idempotents  $e, f \in R$ ,  $eR \cap fR = 0$  implies  $eM \cap fM = 0$ , then R is a right C4-ring.
- 2) If R is a right C4-ring, and eM(1-e) = 0 for any idempotent  $e \in R$ , then  $R \propto M$  is a right C4-ring.

# Proposition [Yousif et al., 2014]

If *M* is a *D*3-module, then for every decomposition  $M = A \oplus B$  and every homomorphism  $f : A \to B$  with  $\text{Im} f \subseteq^{\oplus} B$ , then ker  $f \subseteq^{\oplus} A$ .

# [Yousif et al., 2014]

The following are equivalent for a module M:

- (1) If  $M = A \oplus B$  with  $A, B \subseteq M$  and  $f : A \to B$  is an epimorphism, then ker  $f \subseteq \oplus A$ .
- (2) If  $M = A \oplus B$  with  $A, B \subseteq M$  and  $f : A \to B$  is a homomorphism with  $\operatorname{Im} f \subseteq^{\oplus} B$ , then ker  $f \subseteq^{\oplus} A$ .

# Proposition [Yousif et al., 2014]

If *M* is a *D*3-module, then for every decomposition  $M = A \oplus B$  and every homomorphism  $f : A \to B$  with  $\text{Im} f \subseteq^{\oplus} B$ , then ker  $f \subseteq^{\oplus} A$ .

# [Yousif et al., 2014]

The following are equivalent for a module M:

- (1) If  $M = A \oplus B$  with  $A, B \subseteq M$  and  $f : A \to B$  is an epimorphism, then ker  $f \subseteq^{\oplus} A$ .
- (2) If  $M = A \oplus B$  with  $A, B \subseteq M$  and  $f : A \to B$  is a homomorphism with  $\operatorname{Im} f \subseteq^{\oplus} B$ , then ker  $f \subseteq^{\oplus} A$ .

A module M is called a D4-module if it satisfies any of the equivalent conditions in the above theorem.

#### $D3 \Rightarrow D4$

## Definition [Ding et al., 2017]

A module *M* is called *summand-dual-square-free* if *M* has no proper direct summands *A* and *B* with M = A + B and  $M/A \cong M/B$ .

A module M is called a D4-module if it satisfies any of the equivalent conditions in the above theorem.

 $D3 \Rightarrow D4$ 

# Definition [Ding et al., 2017]

A module *M* is called *summand-dual-square-free* if *M* has no proper direct summands *A* and *B* with M = A + B and  $M/A \cong M/B$ .

The following conditions on a module M are equivalent:

- (1) M is a D4-module.
- (2) If A and B are perspective direct summands of M with A + B = M, then  $A \cap B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .
- (3) If A and B are perspective direct summands of M with  $A + B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ , then  $A \cap B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .

# Proposition 4.2

The following conditions on a module M are equivalent:

- (1) M is a D4- and summand-square-free module.
- (2) *M* is a *C*4- and summand-dual-square-free module.

## Corollary 4.3

 $R_R$  is summand-square-free if and only if  $R_R$  is C4 and summand-dual-square-free .

# Proposition 4.2

The following conditions on a module M are equivalent:

- (1) *M* is a *D*4- and summand-square-free module.
- (2) *M* is a C4- and summand-dual-square-free module.

## Corollary 4.3

 $R_R$  is summand-square-free if and only if  $R_R$  is C4 and summand-dual-square-free .

# Definition 4.4

A module M is said to satisfy the restricted DCC on summands if, M has no strictly descending chains of non-zero summands

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 & \stackrel{\frown}{\Rightarrow} & A_2 \stackrel{\frown}{\Rightarrow} \cdots \\ B_1 & \stackrel{\frown}{\Rightarrow} & B_2 \stackrel{\frown}{\Rightarrow} \cdots \end{array}$$

with  $M/A_i \cong M/B_i$  and  $A_i + B_i \subseteq^{\oplus} M$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

If *M* is a *D*4-module that satisfies the restricted *DCC* on summands, then  $M = A \oplus B \oplus K$  where  $A \cong B$ , *A* and *B* are *D*2-modules, and *K* is a summand-dual-square-free module.

# Corollary 4.6

If *R* is *I*-finite, then  $R_R = A \oplus B \oplus K$  with  $A \cong B$  and *K* a summand-dual-square-free module. Moreover, if *R* is also a right C4-ring, then  $R_R = A \oplus B \oplus K$  where  $A \cong B$  are C2-modules and *K* is both a summand-dual-square-free as well as a summand-square-free module.

If *M* is a *D*4-module that satisfies the restricted *DCC* on summands, then  $M = A \oplus B \oplus K$  where  $A \cong B$ , *A* and *B* are *D*2-modules, and *K* is a summand-dual-square-free module.

# Corollary 4.6

If *R* is *I*-finite, then  $R_R = A \oplus B \oplus K$  with  $A \cong B$  and *K* a summand-dual-square-free module. Moreover, if *R* is also a right C4-ring, then  $R_R = A \oplus B \oplus K$  where  $A \cong B$  are C2-modules and *K* is both a summand-dual-square-free as well as a summand-square-free module.

If *M* is a *D*4-module that satisfies the restricted *DCC* on summands, then  $M = A \oplus B \oplus K$  where  $A \cong B$ , *A* and *B* are *D*2-modules, and *K* is a summand-dual-square-free module.

## Corollary 4.6

If *R* is *I*-finite, then  $R_R = A \oplus B \oplus K$  with  $A \cong B$  and *K* a summand-dual-square-free module. Moreover, if *R* is also a right C4-ring, then  $R_R = A \oplus B \oplus K$  where  $A \cong B$  are C2-modules and *K* is both a summand-dual-square-free as well as a summand-square-free module.

# References

- I. Amin, Y. Ibrahim and M. Yousif, C3-Modules, Algebra Colloq., 4, 655-670, 2015.
- N. Ding, Y. Ibrahim, M. Yousif and Y. Zhou , *C4*-Modules, Comm. Algebra, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2016.1222412.
- W. K. Nicholson and M. Yousif, Quasi-Frobenius Rings, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 158, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- R. Mazurek, P. P. Nielsen and M. Ziembowski, Commuting idempotents, square-free modules, and the exchange property. J. Algebra, 444, 52-80, 2015.

# References

- K. Koike, Dual rings and cogenerator rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ, 37(1), 99-103, 1995.
- M. Yousif, I. Amin and Y. Ibrahim, D3-Modules, Comm. Algebra, 42:2, 578-592, 2014.
- N. Ding, Y. Ibrahim, M. Yousif and Y. Zhou, *D4*-Modules, J. Algebra Appl., Vol. 16, No. 5, 1750166 (25 pages), 2017.
- S. H. Mohamed and B. J. Müller, Continuous and Discrete Modules, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, 147, Cambridge Univ. Press., Cambridge, 1990.

