#### Avenues of research for codes over rings

Steven Dougherty

June 6, 2011

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

What is the largest number of points in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  such that any two of the points are at least *d* apart, where

$$d(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) = |\{i \mid \mathbf{v}_i \neq \mathbf{w}_i\}|?$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

What is the largest number of points in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  such that any two of the points are at least *d* apart, where

$$d(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) = |\{i \mid \mathbf{v}_i \neq \mathbf{w}_i\}|?$$

**Linear version**: What is the largest dimension of a vector space in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  such the weight of any non-zero vector is at least d, i.e. what is the largest k such that a [n, k, d] binary code exists?

# Modified Coding Question

What is the largest number of points in  $A^n$ , where A is some algebraic structure, such that the weight of any non-zero vector is at least d, where the weight is appropriate for the algebraic structure?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• 
$$A = \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$$
 and weight is the Euclidean weight,  
 $wt(\mathbf{c}) = \sum min\{\mathbf{c}_i, 2k - \mathbf{c}_i\}^2.$ 

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

- $A = \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$  and weight is the Euclidean weight,  $wt(\mathbf{c}) = \sum min\{\mathbf{c}_i, 2k - \mathbf{c}_i\}^2.$
- A is any ring and the weight is Hamming weight, wt(c) = |{i | c<sub>i</sub> ≠ 0}|.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- $A = \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$  and weight is the Euclidean weight,  $wt(\mathbf{c}) = \sum min\{\mathbf{c}_i, 2k - \mathbf{c}_i\}^2.$
- A is any ring and the weight is Hamming weight, wt(c) = |{i | c<sub>i</sub> ≠ 0}|.
- A is a ring and the weight is the Homegenous weight, that is a a function w : R → Q such that
  - w(0) = 0
  - Whenever  $R^{\times}x = R^{\times}y$  then w(x) = w(y).
  - $\blacktriangleright$  There is a constant  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{|R|} \sum_{y \in R_X} w(y) = \gamma \text{ for all } x \in R \{0\}.$$
(1)

 A is Z₄ or F₂[u₁, u₂, ..., u<sub>k</sub>]/⟨u<sub>i</sub>² = 0, u<sub>i</sub>u<sub>j</sub> = u<sub>j</sub>u<sub>i</sub>⟩ or F₂[v₁, v₂, ..., v<sub>k</sub>]/⟨v<sub>i</sub>² = 0, v<sub>i</sub>v<sub>j</sub> = v<sub>j</sub>v<sub>i</sub>⟩ and weight is Lee weight, that is the Hamming weight of its image under the assoicated Gray map.

# Gray Maps

$$\mathbb{Z}_{4} \quad \mathbb{F}_{2} + u\mathbb{F}_{2} \quad \mathbb{F}_{2} + v\mathbb{F}_{2} \quad \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} \\
0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 00 \\
1 \quad 1 \quad v \quad 01 \\
2 \quad u \quad 1 \quad 11 \\
3 \quad 1 + u \quad 1 + v \quad 10$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

# Big question 0

What algebraic structures do we allow A to be (modules, groups, rings etc.)?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

What algebraic structures do we allow A to be (modules, groups, rings etc.)? We want the MacWilliams Theorems to hold in order to apply the tools of Coding Theory.

#### Some Definitions

Let *R* be a ring. A linear code *C* over *R* of length *n* is a submodule of  $R^n$ .  $L(C) = \{v \mid [v, w] = 0 \text{ for all } w \in C$   $R(C) = \{v \mid [w, v] = 0 \text{ for all } w \in C$ If *R* is commutative then  $R(C) = L(C) = C^{\perp}$ .

$$W_C(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_a) = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C} \prod_{i=1}^n x_{\mathbf{c}_i}$$

#### Theorem

(MacWilliams 1) (A) If R is a finite Frobenius ring and C is a linear code, then every hamming isometry  $C \rightarrow R^n$  can be extended to a monomial transformation.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

#### Theorem

(MacWilliams 1) (A) If R is a finite Frobenius ring and C is a linear code, then every hamming isometry  $C \rightarrow R^n$  can be extended to a monomial transformation. (B)If a finite commutative ring R satisfies that all of its Hamming isometries between linear codes allow for monomial extensions,

then R is a Frobenius ring.

#### Theorem

(MacWilliams 1) (A) If R is a finite Frobenius ring and C is a linear code, then every hamming isometry  $C \rightarrow R^n$  can be extended to a monomial transformation.

(B)If a finite commutative ring R satisfies that all of its Hamming isometries between linear codes allow for monomial extensions, then R is a Frobenius ring.

By an example of Greferath and Schmidt MacWilliams I does not extend to quasi-Frobenius rings.

Let  $\chi$  be a generating character associated to the ring R and let  $T_{a,b} = \chi(ab)$ , with  $C^{\perp}$  the standard orthogonal.

#### Theorem

(MacWilliams 2) Let C be a linear code over a finite commutative Frobenius ring R then

$$W_{C^{\perp}}(X_{\mathsf{a}}) = \frac{1}{|C|} W_{C}(T \cdot X_{\mathsf{a}})$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let  $\chi$  be a generating character associated to the ring R and let  $T_{a,b} = \chi(ab)$ , with  $C^{\perp}$  the standard orthogonal.

#### Theorem

(MacWilliams 2) Let C be a linear code over a finite commutative Frobenius ring R then

$$W_{C^{\perp}}(X_{\mathbf{a}}) = \frac{1}{|C|} W_{C}(T \cdot X_{\mathbf{a}})$$

MacWilliams relations exists for non-commutative rings for the left and right orthogonal by a slight alteration of the matrix T.

J.A. Wood, Duality for modules over finite rings and applications to coding theory, American Journal of Mathematics, 121, 1999, 555-575.

#### Standard techniques for commutative rings

- Any commutative Frobenius ring is isomorphic via the Chinese Remainder Theorem to a product of Frobenius local rings.
- ► Any commutative principal ideal ring is isomorphic via the Chinese Remainder Theorem to a product of chain rings. For example, Z<sub>k</sub> is isomorophic to Z<sub>p</sub><sup>e1</sup><sub>s</sub> × · · · × Z<sub>p</sub><sup>es</sup><sub>s</sub>.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

• We describe by CRT this isomorphism so that  $C = CRT(C_1, C_2, ..., C_s).$ 

# Singleton Bound

Let C be a subset of  $A^n$  where A is any alphabet, and d(C) is the minimum Hamming distance between any two distinct vectors then

$$d(C) \leq n - \log_{|A|}(C) + 1.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Singleton Bound

Let C be a subset of  $A^n$  where A is any alphabet, and d(C) is the minimum Hamming distance between any two distinct vectors then

$$d(C) \leq n - \log_{|A|}(C) + 1.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A code meeting this bound is said to be an MDS (Maximum Distance Separable Code).

## Singleton Bound

Let C be a subset of  $A^n$  where A is any alphabet, and d(C) is the minimum Hamming distance between any two distinct vectors then

$$d(C) \leq n - \log_{|A|}(C) + 1.$$

A code meeting this bound is said to be an MDS (Maximum Distance Separable Code).

This combinatorial bound is equivalent to a number of interesting combinatorial questions involving mutually orthogonal Latin squares (and hypercubes) and arcs of maximal size in projective geometry.

## MDR Codes

#### Let C be a linear code over a PIR, then

$$d(C) \leq n-k+1$$

where k is the rank of the code.

Let C be a linear code over a PIR, then

$$d(C) \leq n-k+1$$

where k is the rank of the code.

A code meeting this bound is said to be MDR (Maximum Distance with respect to Rank).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Let C be a linear code over a PIR, then

$$d(C) \leq n-k+1$$

where k is the rank of the code.

A code meeting this bound is said to be MDR (Maximum Distance with respect to Rank).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## MDR and MDS Codes

#### Theorem

Let  $C_1, C_2, ..., C_k$  be codes over  $R_i$ , where the  $R_i$  are the component rings via the CRT. If  $C_i$  is an MDR code for each i, then  $C = CRT(C_1, C_2, ..., C_k)$  is an MDR code. If  $C_i$  is an MDS code of the same rank for each i, then  $C = CRT(C_1, C_2, ..., C_k)$  is an MDS code.

S.T. Dougherty, Jon-Lark Kim and Hamid Kulosman, MDS codes over finite principal ideal rings , Designs, Codes and Cryptography, Volume 50, 77-92, 2009.

#### Theorem

Let R be a finite principal ideal ring all of whose residue fields satisfy  $|R/\mathfrak{m}_i| > \binom{n-1}{n-k-1}$  for some integers n, k with n-k-1 > 0. Then there exists an MDS [n, k, n-k+1] code over R.

S.T. Dougherty, Jon-Lark Kim and Hamid Kulosman, MDS codes over finite principal ideal rings , Designs, Codes and Cryptography, Volume 50, 77-92, 2009.

Construct and classify MDR codes over rings (commutative and non-commutative).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

That is, determine precisely when they exist.

A code is self-dual if  $C = C^{\perp}$ .

Self-dual codes are related to unimodular lattices and combinatorial objects.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

A code is self-dual if  $C = C^{\perp}$ .

Self-dual codes are related to unimodular lattices and combinatorial objects.

Self-dual codes are interesting algebraic objects in that their weight enumerators are held invariant by the MacWilliams relations.

# Self-Dual Codes

#### Theorem

Let R be a finite Frobenius ring whose residue fields (with respect to the maximal ideals) are  $\mathbb{F}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{F}_k$ . Then

(1) If  $\mathbb{F}_i$  has characteristic 1 (mod 4) for all *i* then there exist free self-dual codes of all even lengths.

(2) If for each i,  $\mathbb{F}_i$  has characteristic 1 or 3 (mod 4), then there exist free self-dual codes of all lengths congruent to 0 (mod 4).

Self-Dual codes over Frobenius Rings, with J.L. Kim, H. Kulosman and Hongwei Liu, Finite Fields and their Applications, Volume 16, January 2010, 14-26.

# Big Question 2

 Determine when self-dual codes exist over non-commutative rings.

# Big Question 2

- Determine when self-dual codes exist over non-commutative rings.
- Find interesting algebraic and number theoretic connections for self-dual codes over non-commutative rings.

# Big Question 2

- Determine when self-dual codes exist over non-commutative rings.
- Find interesting algebraic and number theoretic connections for self-dual codes over non-commutative rings.
- Give constructions of self-dual codes over non-commutative rings.

Does there exist a binary [72, 36, 16] Type II self-dual code (Type II means all the weights are 0 (mod 4))?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Does there exist a binary [72, 36, 16] Type II self-dual code (Type II means all the weights are 0 (mod 4))?

This question has been open for over 40 years, in reality close to 50 years. It is related to a number of combinatorial conjectures. Every coding theory trick in the book has been tried – some new technique is necessary to solve it.

Does there exist a binary [72, 36, 16] Type II self-dual code (Type II means all the weights are 0 (mod 4))?

This question has been open for over 40 years, in reality close to 50 years. It is related to a number of combinatorial conjectures. Every coding theory trick in the book has been tried – some new technique is necessary to solve it.

Monetary prizes and a complete description can be found at: http://academic.scranton.edu/faculty/DOUGHERTYS1/72.htm

# Cyclic Codes

Cyclic codes are an extremely important class of codes. A code C is cyclic if  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in C \implies (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_0) \in C.$ 

# Cyclic Codes

Cyclic codes are an extremely important class of codes. A code C is cyclic if  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in C \implies (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_0) \in C.$  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \leftrightarrow a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 \dots a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$ 

# Cyclic Codes

Cyclic codes are an extremely important class of codes. A code *C* is cyclic if  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in C \implies (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_0) \in C.$   $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \leftrightarrow a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 \dots a_{n-1} x^{n-1}$ A cyclic code is an ideal in  $R[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ .

Cyclic codes are classified by finding all ideals in  $R[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ .

Generally easy for codes over fields, namely find the divisors of  $x^n - 1$ . Much harder for codes over rings, for example, cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  of even length (i.e. length not relatively prime to characteristic of the ring) is quite complicated.

S.T. Dougherty and San Ling, Cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  of even length, Designs, Codes and Cryptography, May 2006, 127-153.

There is a wealth of open problems here for the talented ring theorist. That is, determine the ideals in  $R[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

There is a wealth of open problems here for the talented ring theorist. That is, determine the ideals in  $R[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ . A lot has been done in the commutative case, but very little for the non-commutative case. Even for the commutative case it has only been done for a handful of rings.

There is a wealth of open problems here for the talented ring theorist. That is, determine the ideals in  $R[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ . A lot has been done in the commutative case, but very little for the non-commutative case. Even for the commutative case it has only been done for a handful of rings. More generally, determine the ideals in  $R[x]/\langle x^n - a \rangle$ , where *a* is some constant. This is classifying constacyclic codes.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

In complete generality, study the group ring. For example, the the cyclic group gives cyclic codes. This is only started to be studied in the commutative case.

# Non-Hamming Metric

#### Example: Rosenbloom-Tsfasman Metric 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0

Distance to **0** is 3 + 4 + 1 + 2 = 9.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Rosenbllom-Tsfasman

MDS codes with respect to this metric are related to uniform distributions and (T, M, S)-nets.

MDS codes with respect to this metric are related to uniform distributions and (T, M, S)-nets.

This notion has been generalized to using a poset to determine the metric.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Find corresponding coding theoretic results for non-Hamming metrics. As usual most results are for a commutative alphabet.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## Infinite rings

Codes have also been defined over the *p*-adics. The benefit here is that they can then be projected down to codes over the finite ring  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}$ .

Codes have also been defined over the *p*-adics. The benefit here is that they can then be projected down to codes over the finite ring  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}$ .

This notion has been further generalized to other infinite rings where there is a natural projection to a family of finite rings. Find interesting infinite rings with canonical projections to finite rings and develop coding theory over these rings.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Questions